

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/820,979	04/07/2004	Sean Christopher Endler	81490 7114	9035		
37123 FITCH EVEN	7590 05/06/2008 TABIN & FLANNERY		EXAMINER			
120 SOUTH I	ASALLE SUITE 1600		THERIAULI	THERIAULT, STEVEN B		
CHICAGO, II	. 60603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2179			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/06/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/820,979	ENDLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEVEN B. THERIAULT	2179	

	STEVEN B. THERIAULT	2179						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued **37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 enrich and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	 (a) \sum They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \sum They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		,	,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
5. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Weilun Lo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179	/Steven B Theriault/ Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2179							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment reflects a change in scope to independent claim 1 and dependent claims as they now contain the limitation of after enlarging a selection then activating the selection, which is different in scope from highlighting a selection and selecting it based on the selection segment located in the proximity of the element and is new for the examiner to consider. For example, see claim 1.